

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Adexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR       | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/045,185  | 10/18/2001  | Johan Adriaan Marc Grooten | DECLE1.001DV1           | 4839            |  |
| 75  | 09/08/2003  |                            |                         |                 |  |
| KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 16 th Floor 620 Newport Center Dr. |             |                            | EXAMINER                |                 |  |
|   |             |                            | WINKLER, ULRIKE         |                 |  |
| Newport Beach   | , CA 92660  |                            | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
|   |             |                            | 1648                    |                 |  |
|   |             |                            | DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003 | U               |  |
|   |             |                            |                         |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <b>*</b>  |   |  | $\frown$   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| í   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/045,185   | GROOTEN ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Ulrike Winkler   | 1648   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the corresponding address Period for Reply   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  - Extensions of time may be available unde after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing de  - If the period for reply specified above is le  - If NO period for reply is specified above, tf  - Failure to reply within the set or extended  - Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C  Status | COMMUNICATION.  r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  set of this communication.  set than thirty (30) days, a reply  me maximum statutory period to  period for reply will, by statute  three months after the mailing | 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl<br>y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH<br>, cause the application to become ABAN | y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communi  | cation(s) filed on  | ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   | 2b) <u></u> ⊤h  | is action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  AN♥ Claim(s) 0.11.14 and 18  | is/are pending in the   | application  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 9-11,14 and 18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 9-11,14 and 18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on   | is/are: a)□ accep   | oted or b) objected to by the  | Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 ar  | nd 120  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of t</li> </ol>   | he priority documents   | s have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of t  | he priority documents   | s have been received in App  | lication No  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of  |   | visional application has been been been been been been been bee  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892<br>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi<br>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (I   | ng Review (PTO-948)   | 5) Notice of Info  | nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention drawn to a method of suppressing memory cell formation by administering an IL-15 inhibiting or eliminating compound:

- 1- anti-IL-15 antibody
- 2- anti-IL-15R alpha antibody
- 3- fusion proteins comprising soluble IL-15R alpha and Fc fragment
- 4- compounds binding/and or inhibiting functional IL-15 receptor
- 5- IL-15 antisense molecule

The listed compounds differ in their sequences with respect to their structure, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not envision one in view of the other. They are therefore separate and patentably distinct species.

The examination of species (1)-(5) in the method parameters and in the immunological reagents used would require different searches in the scientific literature and would involve the consideration of separate issues in determining patentability.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 9 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable Application/Control Number: 10/045,185

Art Unit: 1648

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ulrike Winkler, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-8294.





Application/Control Number: 10/045,185

Art Unit: 1648

The examiner can normally be reached M-F, 8:30 am - 5 pm. The examiner can also be reached via email [ulrike.winkler@uspto.gov].

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached at 703-308-4027.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 or for informal communications use 703-746-3162.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

ULRIKEWINKLER, PHD.

PATENT EXAMINER